

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OECD P 25424 01 OF 02 261831Z

50

ACTION EUR-08

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ISO-00 EB-03 NSC-05 NSCE-00 INR-05

CIAE-00 L-01 SP-02 SAM-01 EA-07 NEA-06 SSO-00 INRE-00

/053 W

----- 009130

O 261820Z OCT 74

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3623

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 01 OF 02 OECD PARIS 25424

LIMDIS

E.O. 11652: GDS1

TAGS: ENRG, OECD

SUBJECT: IEP: DRAFT COUNCIL DECISION

REF: (A) USOECD 24740

1. AMBASSADOR AND DCM CALLED ON OECD SECGEN VAN LENNEP LATE OCT. 25 TO DISCUSS HOW BEST TO ASSURE OECD COUNCIL SUPPORT FOR THE DRAFT COUNCIL DECISION APPROVED AT OCT. 22 ECG MEETING.

2. SECGEN SAID THAT HE HAD CALLED A MEETING OF ECG HEADS OF DELEGATION FOR OCT. 28 TO DISCUSS THIS POINT AS WELL AS HIS CONCERN ABOUT THE PROBLEM OF ENERGY COOPERATION IN OECD WITH NON-IEP MEMBER COUNTRIES, WHICH HE DID NOT FEEL HAD BEEN SATISFACTORILY RESOLVED AT BRUSSELS. VAN LENNEP CONTENDED THAT THE PRESENT DRAFT COUNCIL DECISION CREATED A PRESUMPTION THAT ALL MEANINGFUL ENERGY ACTIVITIES IN OECD WOULD BE TRANSFERRED TO NEW AGENCY, THAT OECD MEMBER COUNTRIES WOULD HAVE TO ACCEPT TOTAL PACKAGE OF IEP IN ORDER TO PARTICIPATE IN ANY ENERGY ACTIVITIES WITHIN OECD FRAMEWORK, AND THAT REMAINING OECD-WIDE ENERGY ACTIVITIES WOULD EITHER BE ABOLISHED OR ALLOWED TO WITHER ON THE VINE. HE SAID HE HAD RECEIVED
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 OECD P 25424 01 OF 02 261831Z

A NUMBER OF QUERIES FROM NON-ECG COUNTRIES (HE CITED

AUSTRALIA) ABOUT EFFECT OF IEP ON OECD-WIDE ENERGY COOPERATION, A PROBLEM WHICH CONCERNED ALL NON-IEP COUNTRIES.

3. VAN LENNEP THOUGHT THAT SOME NON-IEP COUNTRIES, AND SPECIFICALLY FRANCE, DESPITE GISCARD INTERVIEW STATEMENT, WOULD NOT APPROVE DECISION AS IT STOOD. (WE NOTE, INCIDENTALLY, THAT GISCARD'S STATEMENT IN ITS AMENDED VERSION SPECIFICALLY MENTIONS FRENCH READINESS TO COOPERATE ON ENERGY PROBLEMS WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF OECD, WHICH MAY MEAN OUTSIDE OF ENERGY AGENCY) VAN LENNEP SAID HE WAS ALSO CONCERNED THAT DRAFT COUNCIL DECISION AS IT STOOD SEEMED TO BE DIVISIVE BY APPEARING TO BAR OECD-WIDE COOPERATION IN THE FIELD OF ENERGY. THUS, HE SAID HE COULD NOT IN GOOD CONSCIENCE DEFEND IEP TO NON-IEP COUNTRIES ON THE BASIS OF PRESENT TEXT. LANTZKE, HE SAID, HAD FAILED TO UNDERSTAND THIS ISSUE (SIC) AND SHOULD NOT HAVE AGREED IN BRUSSELS THAT THE CURRENT FORMULATION RESOLVED THE PROBLEM.

4. IN RESPONSE WE WENT OVER THE POINTS MADE BY EDERS HERE ON OCT. 18 AND THOSE MADE BY DAVIGNON AT ECG MEETING OCT. 22. WE SAID PURPOSE OF COUNCIL DECISION IS TO SET UP AN AGENCY WITH A SET OF INTERRELATED OBLIGATIONS IN A COMPREHENSIVE ENERGY COOPERATION PROGRAM FOR PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES. WE REPEATED ENDERS' ARGUMENTS THAT IT WOULD BE UNDESIRABLE TO INTRODUCE INTO THIS COUNCIL DECISION ANY REFERENCE TO NON-PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES. ECG HAD CONSIDERED THESE CONCERNS VERY CAREFULLY IN BRUSSELS AND HAD DECIDED ON FORMULATION WHICH REMOVED REFERENCE IN FIRST PARA ARTICLE 6 TO PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES (AS VAN LENNEP HAD SUGGESTED) IN ARTICLE 6(A) (V). DRAFT DECISION WE SAID IS ONLY CONCERNED WITH CREATION OF NEW AGENCY. WE INSISTED IT DOES NOT AND SHOULD NOT IN ANY WAY PREJUDGE OR PREDETERMINE ISSUE OF OECD-WIDE ENERGY COOPERATION. THE ISSUE OF OECD-WIDE ENERGY COOPERATION OUTSIDE THE AGENCY, AS WELL AS RELATIONSHIP OF AGENCY TO OTHER OECD STRUCTURES, WOULD HAVE TO BE WORKED OUT SEPARATELY IN THE COUNCIL AT LATER TIME.

5. WE SAID FURTHER THAT WE WERE WORKING ON VERY TIGHT TIMETABLE AND THAT TO RAISE THESE COMPLEX ISSUES IN CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 OECD P 25424 01 OF 02 261831Z

NECTION WITH IEA DECISION WOULD GREATLY COMPLICATE THE TASK OF MEETING OUR DEADLINE. WE REMINDED VAN LENNEP THAT APPROACH EMBODIED IN CURRENT DRAFT HAD HIGH-LEVEL ENDORSEMENT OF ALL ECG MEMBER COUNTRIES. WE STRESSED THAT IT WOULD BE UNFORTUNATE TO REVIVE THE DEBATE ON THE ISSUE OF A LA CARTE COOPERATION AT THIS POINT.

6. VAN LENNEP SEEMED TO ACCEPT THESE ARGUMENTS NOT TO

REOPEN TEXT OF DRAFT COUNCIL DECISION BUT STRESSED THE
NEED FOR SOME FORMULATION TO ALLEVIATE CONCERNS OF NON-

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OECD P 25424 02 OF 02 261833Z

50

ACTION EUR-08

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ISO-00 EB-03 NSC-05 NSCE-00 INR-05

CIAE-00 L-01 SP-02 SAM-01 SSO-00 INRE-00 EA-07 NEA-06

/053 W

----- 009182

O 261820Z OCT 74

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3624

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 02 OF 02 OECD PARIS 25424

LIMDIS

IEP COUNTRIES. HE RECALLED ENDERS' SUGGESTION OF OCT. 18
FOR POSSIBLE SEPARATE STATEMENT OUTSIDE COUNCIL DECISION.
HE ALSO UNDERSTOOD IT WAS ECG MEMBERS' INTENTION TO
MAKE SEPARATE DECLARATION ON EARLY CONSIDERATION OF HOW
TO INVOLVE NON-IEP COUNTRIES IN AGENCY ACTIVITIES.

7. COMMENT: WE BELIEVE WE WERE SUCCESSFUL IN GETTING
VAN LENNEP OFF THE TACK THAT HE WAS APPARENTLY ON OF
TRYING TO REOPEN THE WORDING OF THE DRAFT COUNCIL DECISION.
WE BELIEVE IT WOULD BE CONSTRUCTIVE TO FOLLOW
ENDERS' SUGGESTION AND CONCENTRATE ON WORDING OF POSSIBLE
SEPARATE STATEMENT OR INTERPRETATION TO BE INCORPORATED
IN COUNCIL MINUTES NOV. 12. THE PURPOSE OF THE STATEMENT
WOULD BE TO SOOTHE CONCERNS OF NON-IEP COUNTRIES
WHILE CONCEDING THE MINIMUM REGARDING ON-GOING OECD
ACTIVITIES AND POSSIBLE SELECTIVE PARTICIPATION OF NON-
IEP COUNTRIES IN AGENCY ACTIVITIES. WE BELIEVE SUCH A
STATEMENT MIGHT BE BUILT ON ENDERS' ASSURANCES TO VAN
LENNEP OCT. 18, HOPKINS' INTERPRETATION DISCUSSED WITH US
OF LEGAL IMPORT OF DRAFT COUNCIL DECISION AND DAVIGNON'S

STATEMENT TO SWEDES AT ECG MEETING OCT. 22, VIZ:

-- COUNCIL DECISION TO SET UP IEA CREATES ENTIRELY
NEW ENTITY FOR PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES AND DOES NOT
AFFECT COUNCIL'S POWERS UNDER THE OECD CONVENTION TO MAKE
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 OECD P 25424 02 OF 02 261833Z

RECOMMENDATIONS AND TAKE DECISIONS ON COOPERATION IN THE
FIELD OF ENERGY.

-- COUNCIL DECISION IS NOT INTENDED TO PREJUDGE
WHAT THE COUNCIL MAY DECIDE ON CONTINUING OECD-WIDE COOP-
ERATION IN THE FIELD OF ENERGY. (SECGEN WOULD PROBABLY
WANT MORE AFFIRMATIVE STATEMENT THAT EXISTING OECD
ENERGY COOPERATION WILL CONTINUE.)

-- IEP MEMBER COUNTRIES WILL, ON AN AD HOC CASE-BY-
CASE BASIS, EXAMINE POSSIBILITIES FOR INVOLVING INTEREST-
ED NON-IEP MEMBER COUNTRIES IN SELECTED IEA ACTIVITIES.

-- IEA MEMBERS INTEND TO CONTINUE COOPERATION IN
OECD-WIDE ACTIVITIES.

8. PROBLEM IS HOW TO MAKE SOME GENERAL STATE-
MENT OF INTENT WITHOUT COMMITTING IEA MEMBERS TO RETAIN-
ING EXISTING PROGRAMS, COMMITTEE STRUCTURE AND SECRETAR-
IAT STAFF IN ENERGY FIELD. VAN LENNEP WILL PROBABLY
PRESENT HIS IDEAS ON THIS SUBJECT TO ECG HEADS OF DELEGA-
TION MEETING ON MONDAYMORNING. WHILE ECG HEADS OF
DELEGATION WILL OF COURSE AGREE TO NO NEW PROPOSAL, IT
WOULD BE EXTREMELY USEFUL TO HAVE DEPARTMENT'S REACTION
TO THIS APPROACH BY OPENING OF BUSINESS MONDAY.
TURNER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: ENERGY, ESTABLISHMENT OF OFFICES
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 26 OCT 1974
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974OECDP25424
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740306-0883
From: OECD PARIS
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19741013/aaaaakta.tel
Line Count: 202
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Reference: (A) USOECD 24740
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 03 APR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <03 APR 2002 by boyleja>; APPROVED <06-Aug-2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: IEP: DRAFT COUNCIL DECISION
TAGS: ENRG, AORG, OECD
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005